|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria & Point Assigned** | **Acceptable** | **Considerable** | | **Below Expectations** | **Unacceptable** | **Marks** |
| **5** | **3** | | **1** | **0** |  |
| **Content** |  |  | |  |  |  |
| Attendance in Lab | Attended in proper time. | Attended the Lab but half an hour late. | | Attended the lab., but extreme late. | Absent. |  |
| Capability of writing Programs with proper explanations | All programs are written precisely based on the algorithm/proced ure, which have been written. | Some programs are written accurately. | | Major errors in program writing. | Programs are not written. |  |
| Result | Represent all data appropriately. Finding of results are clear | Partly outputs are found. | | Major part of output not found. | Wrong output/no output found. |  |
| Submission of Lab Fair Copy | Proper Time i.e. in next day Lab., with properly written Exp. Date, Exp. No. and Exp. Name. | Submission of Lab Fair Copy in proper time but not found Exp. Date, Exp. No. and Exp. Name. | | All experiments that have been completed last day lab. , not found. | Late Submission. |  |
| **Total Marks** | | | | | |  |
| **Signature With Date** | | |  | | | |

**Rubrics For Laboratory Practicals(Advance Web Programming)**

**Rubrics For Mini Project(Advance Web Programming)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria & Point Assigned** | **Acceptable** | **Considerable** | | **Below Expectations** | **Unacceptable** | **Marks** |
| **5** | **3** | | **1** | **0** |  |
| **Content** |  |  | |  |  |  |
| Functionality | Project meets its objectives and requirements. | Some of the objectives and requirement are achieved. | | Few of the objectives and requirement are achieved. | None of the objectives and requirement achieved. |  |
| Design | Design aspects such as the use of color, typography, layout, and images, and the design are consistent throughout the project. | Some of the layouts, images and design is not as per user requirements. | | Images and layouts are not as per user requirements. | Design aspects are not as per the user requirement. |  |
| Code quality | The code is well organized, easy to read and properly commented. | The code is well organized but the variable names are not meaningful. | | Comments are not properly given. Also code is not properly organized. | Quality of the code is poor with no comments. |  |
| Collaboration | All the team members worked together with equally contribution of work. | Some of the team member does not know the how the project works. | | Only one to two team members have active participation in the project. | No coordination with the all the team members. |  |
| **Total Marks** | | | | | |  |
| **Signature With Date** | | |  | | | |